## Sheet 1

1.

- (1)  $(1+r)^{-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (-r)^i$ .
- (2)
- $(a) \Rightarrow (b)$  *N* is the intersection of all prime ideals, so here equal to the only prime ideal. It is also maximal, hence the claim.
- $(b) \Rightarrow (c)$  N must be maximal, as every proper ideal is contained in  $R \setminus R^{\times}$ .
- $(c) \Rightarrow (a)$  *N* must be maximal. As it is contained in any prime ideal it is the only one.
- 2. Just follow the outline of the proof from the lecture, to show that the map is well-defined and bijective.

3.

(1) Induction over deg(*f*) for both implications. deg(*f*) = 0 is clear. Otherwise:  $f = c_n X^n + g$  with deg(*g*) < *n*. By induction  $f = g \cdot (1 - (-c_n g^{-1} X^n))$  and thus

$$f^{-1} = g^{-1} \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (-c_n g^{-1} X^n)^i.$$

This is also the inverse of f in the ring of formal power series. The necessary condition for it to be a polynomial is  $c_n$  nilpotent (as  $g^{-1}$  and X are not).

(2) f nilpotent. Then  $c_0$  is nilpotent and hence also

$$f - c_0 = X \cdot (c_1 + \dots + c_n X^{n-1}).$$

Inductively, all  $c_i$  are nilpotent.

For the other implication ww write again  $f = c_n X^n + g$  with deg(g) < n. Inductively we have  $l_n, l_g \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $c_n^{l_n} = 0 = g^{l_g}$ . Use the binomial theorem to show

$$f^{l_n+l_g-1}=0.$$

(3) Let  $g = \sum_{i=0}^{m} b_i X^i \in R[X]$  with gf = 0 and  $b_m \neq 0$ . Further choose g such that m is minimal. Now assume  $m \ge 1$ . Then set

$$l := \max\{j \in \mathbb{N} \mid c_j g \neq 0\}.$$

Now we have

$$0 = fg = (c_0 + \dots + c_n X^n)g = (c_0 + \dots + c_l X^l)g.$$

Hence  $c_l b_m = 0$ . This implies  $0 \le \deg(c_l g) < m$ . But  $(c_l g)f = c_l(gf) = 0$  which contradicts the minimality of g. The other implication is trivial. 4.

- (1) Just verify the definition of a ring.
- (2) For each  $x \in I$  we have the map

$$R \to \mathbb{R}, \\ f \mapsto f(x)$$

It is a surjectve ring homomorphism with kernel  $M_x$ . Hence  $R/M_x \cong \mathbb{R}$  and so  $M_x$  is maximal.

(3) No. The interval *I* is of the form I = (a, b) with  $a, b \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm \infty\}$ . Now consider the set

$$A := \{ f \in \mathbb{R} \mid \exists c \in \mathbb{R} \text{ s.t. } supp(f) = (a, c] \}.$$

Then obviously  $A \not\subseteq R^{\times}$ . Furthermore,  $A \not\subseteq M_x$  for all  $x \in I$ , since for all  $x \in I$  we find  $x > c \in I$  and  $f \in R$  with support (a, c]. Hence A must be contained in another maximal ideal.

(4) No. The 1-function has no compact support.