

$$1) D_+(x_i) = \text{Spec} \left(R[x_0, \dots, x_n] \left[\frac{1}{x_i} \right] \right)_0 = \text{Spec } R \left[\frac{x_0}{x_i}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{x_i} \right] \cong \mathbb{A}_R^n.$$

Assume $p \in \mathbb{P}_R^n \setminus \bigcup D_+(x_i)$. As $p \notin D_+(x_i)$ we have $x_i \notin p \nsubseteq \mathbb{A}_R^n$
 $\Rightarrow S_+ = (x_0, \dots, x_n) \subseteq p \cap \mathbb{A}_R^n$.

$$U_{ij} = D_+(x_i) \cap D_+(x_j) = D_+(x_i x_j) = \text{Spec} \left(R[x_0, \dots, x_n] \left[\frac{1}{x_i x_j} \right] \right)_0 = \\ = \text{Spec } R \left[\frac{x_0}{x_i x_j}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{x_i x_j}, \frac{x_0}{x_j}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{x_j} \right] = \text{Spec } R \left[\frac{x_0}{x_i}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{x_i} \right] \left[\frac{x_i}{x_j} \right].$$

Then U_{ij} 's are affine and it suffices to give φ_{ij} on the correxp. rings:

$$\varphi_{ij}^{\#}: R \left[\frac{x_0}{x_j}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{x_j} \right] \left[\left(\frac{x_i}{x_j} \right)^{-1} \right] \longrightarrow R \left[\frac{x_0}{x_i}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{x_i} \right] \left[\left(\frac{x_j}{x_i} \right)^{-1} \right]$$

$$\frac{x_k}{x_j} \longmapsto \frac{x_k}{x_i} \cdot \left(\frac{x_j}{x_i} \right)^{-1}.$$

2) Claim 1: For s , \mathcal{O}_X is a sheaf of $\text{Spec } F_p$ -algebras.

Proof: $s|_U: U \rightarrow \text{Spec } F_p$ is given by $F_p \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(U)$

$\rightsquigarrow \mathcal{O}_X(U)$ is a F_p -alg.

As $\begin{array}{ccc} s|_U & \text{Spec } F_p & \\ \downarrow & \text{commutes} & \uparrow \\ F_p & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{O}_X(U) \\ \downarrow s|_V & & \uparrow \\ s|_V & \text{Spec } F_p & \text{commutes} \\ \downarrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathcal{O}_X(V) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{O}_X(V) \end{array}$

\Rightarrow restriction morph. on \mathcal{O}_X are F_p -linear!

Claim 2: $F^{\#}: \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow F_* \mathcal{O}_X$ is morph. of sheaves of rings.

Proof: $x \mapsto x^p$ defines a morph. of rings on every F_p -alg.

Let $V \subseteq U \subseteq X$ open. $x \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$. Then as $s|_{UV}: \mathcal{O}_X(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(V)$ is a

ring morph.: $F^{\#}(s|_{UV}(x)) = s|_{UV}(x)^p = s|_{UV}(x^p) = s|_{UV}(F^{\#}(x))$.

Claim 3: $(F, F^{\#}): (X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is morph. of schemes.

Proof: It remains to see that $F^{\#}$ is a local morphism.

Let $x \in X$ with stalk $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$. Then $F^{\#}: \mathcal{O}_{X,F(x)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ is simply

$F^{\#} = (-)^p: \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$. Let $m_x \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ be the max. ideal. Then

$F^{\#}(m_x) \subseteq m_x^p \subseteq m_x \Rightarrow F^{\#}$ local.

3) + 4)

(1): I is obviously generated by $\{a \in I \mid a \text{ homog.}\}$..

(2): If $I = (a_i)$ and $J = (b_j)$ homog. generated ideals. Then

$I + J = (a_i, b_j)_{ij}$, $I \cdot J = (a_i \cdot b_j)_{ij}$ homog. generated.

Intersection obvious by original def.

Radical:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Assume } \sqrt{I} \text{ not homog.} &\Rightarrow \exists f \in \sqrt{I}, f = \sum_{i=0}^n f_i, f_i \in R, \text{ s.t.} \\ &\text{not all } f_i \in \sqrt{I}. \text{ Pick } N_0 \in \mathbb{N} \text{ s.t. } f_{N_0} \notin \sqrt{I} \text{ but } f_i \in \sqrt{I} \forall i < N_0. \\ &\Rightarrow \sum_{i=0}^{N_0-1} f_i \in \sqrt{I} \\ &\Rightarrow \tilde{f} := f - \sum_{i=0}^{N_0-1} f_i \in \sqrt{I} \\ &\Rightarrow \exists n \in \mathbb{N}: \tilde{f}^n \in I \end{aligned}$$

Consider the degree = $n \cdot N_0$ -part of \tilde{f}^n :

$$\begin{aligned} I \ni (\tilde{f}^n)_{n \cdot N_0} &= (f_{N_0})^n \quad \text{as } \tilde{f} \text{ has no part of deg } < N_0! \\ &\Rightarrow f_{N_0} \in \sqrt{I} \quad \text{by} \end{aligned}$$

(3) "only if": obvious

"if": Let $f = \sum f_i \notin P$, $g = \sum g_j \notin P$ with $f, g \in P$. Choose $N_1, N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $f_{N_1} \notin P$, $f_i \in P \forall i < N_1$, $g_{N_2} \notin P$, $g_j \in P \forall j < N_2$.

$$\Rightarrow (f - \sum_{i=0}^{N_1} f_i) \cdot (g - \sum_{j=0}^{N_2} g_j) \in P$$

Consider degree = $N_1 + N_2$ -part:

$$f_{N_1} \cdot g_{N_2} = \left((f - \sum_{i=0}^{N_1} f_i) (g - \sum_{j=0}^{N_2} g_j) \right)_{N_1 + N_2} \in P, f_{N_1}, g_{N_2} \notin P$$

Contradiction to assumption!

(4) (a) $\forall \neq I \subseteq P$ prime: $R_+ \subseteq P$

$$(b) \sqrt{I} = R \text{ or } \sqrt{I} = R_+$$

$$(b') R_+ \subseteq \sqrt{I}$$

(c) $R_d \subseteq I$ for some $d \geq 1$.

Proof of (a) $\Rightarrow (b')$

Let $I \subseteq R$ with (a). Then by def. $\text{Proj}(R/I) = \emptyset$. Then let $f \in (R/I)_+$ and

$$\text{consider } \text{Spec}\left((R/I)\left[\frac{1}{f}\right]\right)_0 \cong D_+(f) \subseteq \text{Proj}(R/I) = \emptyset$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Spec}\left((R/I)\left[\frac{1}{f}\right]\right)_0 = \emptyset \Rightarrow (R/I)\left[\frac{1}{f}\right]_0 = \emptyset \Rightarrow 0.$$

$$\Rightarrow 1 = 0 \text{ in } R/I\left[\frac{1}{f}\right] \Rightarrow R/I\left[\frac{1}{f}\right] = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow f \text{ nilpotent in } R/I \Rightarrow f \in \sqrt{I}$$

$$\Rightarrow R_+ \subseteq \sqrt{I}.$$

Proof of (b') \Rightarrow (a): obvious

Proof of (b) \Leftrightarrow (b')

$$\begin{aligned}\{I \subseteq R \text{ homog. with } R_+ \subseteq I\} &\equiv \{I \subseteq R/R_+ \text{ homog.}\} \\ &\equiv \{I \subseteq R_+\} = \{(0) \subseteq R_0 = k \text{ and } R_0 \subseteq R_0 = k\}\end{aligned}$$

But $(0) \subseteq R_0$ corresponds to $I = R_+$ and $k \subseteq R_0$ corresponds to $I = R$.

Proof of (b') \Rightarrow (c)

Let $I \subseteq R$ homog. with $R_+ \subseteq \sqrt{I}$. Then R/I is a fin. gen. k -alg. with, i.e.

Noeth. Thus $(R/I)_+$ is fin. generated by (wlog. homog.) elements f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n .

As $R_+ \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ each f_i is nilpotent, i.e. $f_i^{n_i} = 0$ for some $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $d_i = \deg f_i$.

$$\Rightarrow ((R/I)_+)^{\sum n_i} = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n)^{\sum n_i}$$

Claim: $(R/I)_N = 0$ for $N > \sum n_i d_i$.

Proof: As the f_1, \dots, f_n generate R/I as k -algebra, any $g \in (R/I)_N$ may be written as $\sum_j (\lambda_j f_i^{\alpha_{ij}})$ for $\lambda_j \in k$, $\alpha_{ij} \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $\sum \alpha_{ij} d_i = N$.

But by choice of $N \geq \sum n_i d_i$ with $\alpha_{ij} \geq n_i \Rightarrow$ Each summand is $= 0$!

$$\Rightarrow (R/I)_N = 0 \Rightarrow R_N \subseteq I.$$

Proof of (c) \Rightarrow (b):

Let $R_d \subseteq I$ for some d . But $R_+^d \subseteq R_d$ by def. Hence $R_+ \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ as desired.