

Solution to an exercise posed on Monday, 13.10.2014:

We considered

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ad}: GL_n &\longrightarrow GL_n = GL(\text{Mat}(n \times n, K)) \\ A &\longmapsto \text{Ad}(A): \text{Mat}(n \times n, K) \longrightarrow \text{Mat}(n \times n, K) \\ X &\mapsto A^{-1}XA. \end{aligned}$$

a) Convince yourself that this is a morph. of alg. groups.

Sol. • $\text{Ad}(A)$ is a linear map and invertible.

- $A \mapsto \text{Ad}(A)$ is a group morphism.
- After fixing a basis of $\text{Mat}(n \times n, K)$, we see that Ad is given by polynomials (of degree 21).

b) What is $\ker(\text{Ad})$?

Sol.: Any $A \in \ker(\text{Ad})$ must commute with any matrix in $\text{Mat}(n \times n, K)$, hence it lies in $Z(GL_n) = \{\lambda \cdot 1_n, \lambda \in K\}$.
In fact we have equality: $Z(GL_n) = \ker(\text{Ad})$.

c) What does this mean for quotients like $G/\ker(\text{Ad}|_G)$ if $G \subseteq GL_n$ closed subgroup?

Sol.: Just note, that we have defined an algebraic structure on $G/\ker(\text{Ad}|_G)$, i.e. $G/\ker(\text{Ad}|_G)$ exists again as an alg. group.

d) In the case $SL_2 \xrightarrow{\text{Ad}} PSL_2 = \text{im}(\text{Ad}) \subseteq GL_3$ and $\text{char } K = 2$, show that this is an isomorphism of abstract groups.

$$\begin{aligned} \ker(\text{Ad}|_{SL_2}) &= \left\{ \lambda \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2 \right\} = \\ &= \left\{ \pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2 \right\} \stackrel{\text{char } K=2}{=} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

\Rightarrow kernel is trivial & image is all of PSL_2

\Rightarrow iso of abstract groups.

c) Show that $\text{Ad}: \text{SL}_2 \rightarrow \text{PSL}_2$ is not an isomorphism of algebraic groups!

Sol: We have to see that Ad is given by polynomials of degree 2. The easiest way (?) to do so is to write down Ad explicitly.

$$\text{Ad}: \text{SL}_2 \longrightarrow \text{PSL}_2 \cong \text{GL}_4$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \longmapsto \begin{pmatrix} ad & cd & -ab & -bc \\ bd & d^2 & -b^2 & -bd \\ -ac & -c^2 & +a^2 & ac \\ -bc & -cd & ab & ad \end{pmatrix}$$

Now assume we have an inverse

$$\text{Ad}^{-1}: \text{PSL}_2 \longrightarrow \text{SL}_2$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} & & \\ & & \end{pmatrix} \longmapsto \begin{pmatrix} p_1(\dots) & p_2(\dots) \\ p_3(\dots) & p_4(\dots) \end{pmatrix}$$

for some polynomials p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4 in 16 variables (??).

As $\text{Ad}^{-1} \circ \text{Ad} = \text{id}_{\text{SL}_2}$ we compare the upper left component:

$$a = p_1(ad, cd, -ab, -bc, bd, d^2, \dots) \quad (*)$$

& a, b, c, d with $ad - bc - 1 = 0$.

$\Rightarrow (*)$ holds as equality in $K[a, b, c, d]/(ad - bc - 1)$

~~Now observe that~~ Now observe that $R = K[a, b, c, d]/(ad - bc - 1)$ has a

$\mathbb{Z}/2$ -grading: $R_0 = \{ \text{all polynomials s.t. all occurring monomials have even degree} \}$

$$R_1 = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{"} \\ \text{odd} \end{array} \right\}.$$

The left-hand side of (*) lies in R_1 , while the right-hand side lies in R_0 . Together with $R_0 \cap R_1 = \{0\}$ we get a contradiction (because $a \neq 0$ in R !).

f) *** Show that $SL_2 \not\cong PSL_2$ (in char. 2) ~~(wlog)~~

Sol: I have the following two problems

- Unfortunately I couldn't find any proof in the literature
- Straightforward calculations (as we did for endomorphisms of G_m) can be done, but make for a very boring read.

Therefore let me give the following sketch of a proof. ~~This was~~
You should be able to understand the basic ideas, but filling in the details might give you problems. (at least with your current knowledge). (Assume for simplicity $K = \bar{F}_2$).

Step 1: So assume we have an isomorphism $f: SL_2 \rightarrow PSL_2$

Then all coefficients in all polynomials occurring in the definition of f lie in some field $\bar{F}_{2^{m_0}}$ for $m_0 \gg 0$.

Step 1: Show that for all $m \geq m_0$ we have an isomorphism

$$SL_2(\bar{F}_{2^m}) \xrightarrow{\cong} PSL_2(\bar{F}_{2^m})$$

$$(\text{here } SL_2(\bar{F}_{2^m}) = SL_2 \cap F_{2^m}^4 \subseteq K^4 = \bar{F}_2^4)$$

Step 2: Note that Ad and f differ on $SL_2(\bar{F}_{2^m})$ by an automorphism of $PSL_2(\bar{F}_{2^m})$.

Step 3: People studying finite groups have determined $\text{Aut}(PSL_2(\bar{F}_{2^m}))$:

It is generated by all inner automorphisms and $\text{Gal}(\bar{F}_{2^m}/\bar{F}_2)$.

Step 4: Using $SL_2 = \bigcup_m SL_2(\bar{F}_{2^m})$ (and similarly for PSL_2)

show that we can write (as morphisms of abstract groups!)

$$f = \text{Inn}(A) \circ \sigma \circ \text{Ad}: SL_2 \rightarrow PSL_2$$

for $\text{Inn}(A)$ the inner automorphism given by conj. by $A \in PSL_2(\bar{F}_2)$ and $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\bar{F}_2/\bar{F}_2)$.

Step 5: As $\text{Inn}(A)$ defines an isomorphism of PSL_2 (as algebraic group!), we can assume wlog

$$f = \sigma \circ \text{Ad}. \quad \text{for some } \sigma \in \text{Gal}(\bar{F}_2/\bar{F}_2).$$

Step 6: Show that $\circ \circ \text{Ad}$ is given by polynomials (i.e. is a morphism of alg. groups) if and only if $\circ = \text{Frob}^d$ for some $d \geq 0$.

(Remark: Ad is a morphism of "degree 2" and \circ_0 is Frob , while isomorphisms should have degree 1. So the main part here is to exclude $\text{Frob}^{-1} \circ \text{Ad}$ as alg. morphism.).

Step 7: Using a similar argument as we did for Ad , one can show that none of the morphisms $\circ^d \circ \text{Ad}$ for $\circ \neq \text{Frob}^d$ is an isomorphism of affine varieties.