

Solutions to Sheet 10

A 27)

(a) Let $x \in X$ be a point and choose any path $\alpha: I \rightarrow X$ from x_0 to x . Then $p \circ f \circ \alpha = p \circ \alpha: I \rightarrow Y$, i.e.

$f \circ \alpha: I \rightarrow X$ is a lift of $p \circ \alpha$ starting at $f(x_0) = p \circ \alpha(0)$. By theorem 8.24. such a lift is unique. In particular its endpoint is unique:

$$f(\alpha(1)) = f(x)$$

Hence fixing $f(x_0)$, determines $f(x)$, i.e. all of f .

(b) We have already seen, that α' defines an isomorphism

$$\alpha'_*: \pi_1(X, x_0) \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_1(X, x_1)$$

$$\gamma \longmapsto \alpha'^{-1} * \gamma * \alpha'$$

So applying p_x gives:

$$\begin{aligned} p_x(\pi_1(X, x_1)) &= \{p_x(\alpha'^{-1} * \gamma * \alpha') \mid \gamma \in \pi_1(X, x_0)\} = \\ &= \{p_x(\alpha'^{-1}) * p_x(\gamma) * p_x(\alpha') \mid \gamma \in \pi_1(X, x_0)\} = \\ &= \{[\alpha^{-1}] * p_x(\gamma) * [\alpha] \mid \gamma \in \pi_1(X, x_0)\} = \\ &= [\alpha^{-1}] * p_x(\pi_1(X, x_0)) * [\alpha]. \end{aligned}$$

(c) Assume first that $p_x(\pi_1(X, x_0))$ is normal in $\pi_1(Y, y_0)$.

Then part (b) implies that for all $x_1 \in p^{-1}(y_0)$,

$$p_x(\pi_1(X, x_1)) = p_x(\pi_1(X, x_0))$$

So we can apply the theorem 8.26 to the cover

$p: (X, x_1) \longrightarrow (Y, y_0)$ and the continuous morphism

$$p: (X, x_0) \longrightarrow (Y, y_0) :$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & (X, x_1) & \\ \exists \gamma \dashv & \downarrow p & \\ (X, x_0) & \xrightarrow[p]{} & (Y, y_0) \end{array}$$

giving a continuous morphism $f: (X, x_0) \longrightarrow (X, x_1)$.

It remains to see that f is a homeomorphism. Swapping the roles of (X, x_0) and (X, x_1) in the previous argument gives a continuous morphism $f^{-1}: (X, x_1) \rightarrow (X, x_0)$.

Then id , $f \circ f^{-1}: X \rightarrow X$ are continuous maps with

$$\text{id}(x_0) = x_0 = f^{-1} \circ f(x_0) \text{ and } p \circ \text{id} = p = p \circ f^{-1} \circ f.$$

Thus the argument of part (a) (which does not use f to be a homeo) implies $\text{id} = f^{-1} \circ f$. Similarly $f \circ f^{-1} = \text{id}$.

$\Rightarrow f$ is a homeomorphism.

Assume now that $\text{Aut}(X \xrightarrow{p} Y)$ acts transitively on $p^{-1}(y_0)$.

Choosing some class $[\alpha] \in \pi_1(Y, y_0)$, we have to see that

$$[\alpha^{-1}] * p_*(\pi_1(X, x_0)) * [\alpha] \subseteq \cancel{p_*} p_*(\pi_1(X, x_0)) \quad (*)$$

Lift a representative $\alpha: \mathbb{I} \rightarrow Y$ of $[\alpha]$ to a path $\alpha': \mathbb{I} \rightarrow X$ with $\alpha'(0) = x_0$. Then setting $x_1 = \alpha'(1)$, part (b) implies that $(*)$ is equivalent to

$$p_*(\pi_1(X, x_1)) \subseteq p_*(\pi_1(X, x_0)).$$

To prove this, choose a $g \in \text{Aut}(X \xrightarrow{p} Y)$ with $g(x_1) = x_0$.

(possible by our assumption!) Then we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & (X, x_0) & \\ f \nearrow & \downarrow p & \\ (X, x_1) & \xrightarrow[p]{} & (Y, y_0) \end{array}$$

i.e. f is a lift of $g: (X, x_1) \rightarrow (Y, y_0)$. But by Theorem 8.26 this implies directly

$$p_*(\pi_1(X, x_1)) \subseteq p_*(\pi_1(X, x_0))$$

as desired.

(d) Step 1: Definition of $\bar{\Phi}: \pi_1(Y, y_0) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(X \xrightarrow{p} Y)$.

Let $[g] \in \pi_1(Y, y_0)$ and represent it by some $g: I \rightarrow Y$.

Lift g to a path $g': I \rightarrow X$ with $g'(0) = x_0$. Then define $\bar{\Phi}([g])$ to be the unique $f \in \text{Aut}(X \xrightarrow{p} Y)$ with

$$f(x_0) = x_1 = g'(1).$$

Step 2: $\bar{\Phi}$ is well-defined

We have to see that $\bar{\Phi}([g])$ does not depend on the choice of the representative g . So let $g_1, g_2: I \rightarrow Y$ be two such representatives. Then there exists a homotopy $H: I \times I \rightarrow Y$ from g_1 to g_2 . By the homotopy lifting theorem 8.24 there is some $\tilde{H}: I \times I \rightarrow X$ with $\tilde{H}|_{\{0\} \times I} = g_1$ and $p \circ \tilde{H} = H$. In particular $\tilde{H}|_{\{1\} \times I}: I \rightarrow X$ is a path satisfying

$$p \circ \tilde{H}|_{\{1\} \times I} = H|_{\{1\} \times I} = g_2$$

i.e. by uniqueness of lift $\tilde{H}|_{\{1\} \times I} = g_2'$.

Next note that $\tilde{H}|_{I \times \{1\}}$ is a path from $g_1'(1)$ to $g_2'(1)$ lifting $H|_{I \times \{1\}} = c_{y_0}$ the constant path at y_0 . By uniqueness of lift, $\tilde{H}|_{I \times \{1\}}$ has to be the constant path at $g_1'(1)$, i.e. $g_2'(1) = g_1'(1) = x_1$.

Step 3: $\bar{\Phi}$ is a group morphism

let $(g, \sigma) \in \pi_1(Y, y_0)$, $g, \sigma: I \rightarrow Y$ representatives, $g', \sigma': I \rightarrow X$ lifts with $g'(0) = x_0 = \sigma'(0)$ and $f_g = \bar{\Phi}([g])$, $f_\sigma = \bar{\Phi}([\sigma])$ the images in $\text{Aut}(X \xrightarrow{p} Y)$.

Then $f_g(\sigma'): I \rightarrow X$ is a lift of σ starting at $f_g(\sigma'(0)) = f_g(x_0) = g'(1)$. Hence $g' * f_g(\sigma'): I \rightarrow X$ is a well-defined path starting at x_0 . As it lifts $g * \sigma$, we have: $g' * f_g(\sigma') = (g * \sigma)'$
 $\Rightarrow \bar{\Phi}([g] \cdot [\sigma])(x_0) = (g * \sigma)'(1) = (g' * f_g(\sigma'))(1) = f_g(\sigma'(1)) = f_g(f_\sigma(x_0)) = (f_g \circ f_\sigma)(x_0) = (\bar{\Phi}[g] \cdot \bar{\Phi}[\sigma])(x_0)$

Then by part (a) :

$$\phi([\gamma] \cdot [\sigma]) = \phi[\gamma] \cdot \phi[\sigma] \in \text{Aut}(X \xrightarrow{p} Y)$$

Step 4: Φ is surjective

Let $f \in \text{Aut}(X \xrightarrow{p} Y)$. Then choose a path $\gamma': I \rightarrow X$ from x_0 to $f(x_0)$. Then $p(\gamma') \in \pi_1(Y, y_0)$. Moreover by construction

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(p(\gamma'))(x_0) &= f(x_0) \\ \Rightarrow \Phi(p(\gamma')) &= f\end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow \Phi$ surjective.

Step 5: $\ker \Phi \cong \pi_1(X, x_0)$

Consider $p_*: \pi_1(X, x_0) \rightarrow (Y, y_0)$.

For any $[\gamma] \in \pi_1(X, x_0)$ with representative $\gamma: I \rightarrow X$, then γ' is a lift of $p(\gamma): I \rightarrow Y$. Hence $\phi(p_*([\gamma']))$ is the unique automorphism with mapping x_0 to $\gamma'(1) = x_0$.

$$\Rightarrow \phi(p_*([\gamma'])) = \text{id}_X$$

\Rightarrow There is a well-defined map

$$p_*: \pi_1(X, x_0) \longrightarrow \ker \Phi.$$

Its inverse is given by

$$p_*^{-1}: \ker \Phi \rightarrow \pi_1(X, x_0), [\gamma] \mapsto [\gamma']$$

where γ' is the lift of γ with $\gamma'(0) = x_0$. For $[\gamma] \in \ker \Phi$ we have $\gamma'(1) = x_0$, so p_*^{-1} is well-defined.

Moreover p_*^{-1} is really the inverse of p_* , which can be checked already on the level of paths (while ignoring all homotopies).

A28)

(a) Let $x \in X$ and choose $U \subseteq U \subseteq X$ s.t. $U \cap gU = \emptyset \forall g \in G \setminus \{e\}$.

Then $q^{-1}(q(U)) = \bigcup_{g \in G} g \cdot U$ is open, hence $q(U)$ is an open neighborhood of $q(x)$. Moreover for every $g \in G$

$g: g \cdot U \rightarrow q(U)$ is a homeomorphism.

It is clearly continuous and bijective. To check openness, let

$V \subseteq g \cdot U$ be open. Then $q^{-1}(q(V)) = \bigcup_{g \in G} g \cdot V$ is open, hence $q(V)$ is open as desired.

$\Rightarrow q: q^{-1}(q(U)) \rightarrow q(U)$ is a homeo on connected compone

$\Rightarrow q$ is a covering (because the $q(U)$ cover $q(X) = X/G$).

(b) Consider

$$G \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(X \xrightarrow{q} X/G)$$

$$g \longmapsto g \cdot : X \rightarrow X \\ x \mapsto g \cdot x$$

It is injective, because only $e \in G$ acts as the identity.

Moreover G acts transitively on $q^{-1}(q(x_0)) = G \cdot x_0$. Hence

for any $f \in \text{Aut}(X \xrightarrow{q} X/G)$, there is a $g \in G$ with

$f(x_0) = g \cdot x_0$. Hence by A27(a): $f = g \cdot \in \text{Aut}(X \xrightarrow{q} X/G)$.

$\Rightarrow G \cong \text{Aut}(X \xrightarrow{q} X/G)$ is an isomorphism

The arguments above show that A27(c) are satisfied, hence

by A27(d):

$$\pi_1(X/G, q(x_0)) \xrightarrow{\Phi} \text{Aut}(X \xrightarrow{q} X/G) \cong G$$

is surjective with kernel isomorphic to $\pi_1(X, x_0)$.

A29)

(a) Consider $p: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow K$

$$(x, y) \mapsto \begin{cases} (x \bmod 1, y \bmod 1) & \text{if } x \in [2n, 2n+1], n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ (x \bmod 1, -y \bmod 1) & \text{if } x \in [2n+1, 2n+2], n \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{cases}$$

One can check by direct computation that p is a covering.

To compute $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{R}^2 \xrightarrow{p} K)$, consider the following two deck transforms:

$$\sigma: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2, (x, y) \mapsto (x, y+1)$$

$$\tau: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2, (x, y) \mapsto (x+1, -y)$$

Indeed by construction $p \circ \sigma = p = p \circ \tau: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow K$.

(Claim: $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{R}^2 \xrightarrow{p} K) = \{\sigma^a \cdot \tau^b, a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$)

Proof: Taking $x_0 = (0, 0)$, it suffices to show that $\{\sigma^a \tau^b\}$ acts transitively on $p^{-1}(x_0) = \mathbb{Z}^2 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$. But

$$\sigma^a \tau^b (0, 0) = \sigma^a (b, 0) = (b, a)$$

So the claim follows.

So the assumptions of A27(c) are satisfied and we may apply A27(d). With $\pi_1(\mathbb{R}^2, (0, 0)) = 1$, we obtain:

$$\pi_1(K, (0, 0)) \cong \text{Aut}(\mathbb{R}^2 \xrightarrow{p} K) \cong \{\sigma^a \tau^b \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

In this last group, the multiplication is given by

$$(\sigma^a \tau^b) \cdot (\sigma^c \tau^d) = \sigma^{a+(-1)^b c} \cdot \tau^{b+d}.$$