

Yoneda Lemma

Given a functor $C \rightarrow \text{Set}$, what is needed to define a nat. trans.

$$\text{Hom}(c, -) \cong F$$

for some $c \in C$?

More generally, we can describe nat. trans.

$$\text{Hom}(c, -) \rightarrow F$$

as follows:

Given $F: C \rightarrow \text{Set}$ and $c \in C$ there is a map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Phi: \text{Hom}(\text{Hom}(c, -), F) & \rightarrow & F \\ \downarrow \psi & & \downarrow \psi \\ \alpha \mapsto \alpha_c(\text{id}_c) \end{array}$$

Then (Yoneda lemma)

The map Φ is a bijection

Pf: Bijectivity:

We construct an inverse map

$$\psi: F(c) \rightarrow$$

as follows:

Let $x \in F_C$: For $d \in C$ need $\psi(x)_d$:

$$\text{Hom}(C, d) \xrightarrow{\psi(x)_d} Fd$$

For $c \xrightarrow{f} d$ in C , we look at

$$\text{Hom}(C, c) \xrightarrow{\psi(x)_c} Fc$$

$$f_* \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow Ff$$

$$\text{Hom}(C, d) \xrightarrow{\psi(x)_d} Fd$$

Since $f = f \circ \text{id}_c = f_*(\text{id}_c)$, for this to commute we need

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x)_d(f) &= \psi(x)_d(f_*(\text{id}_c)) \\ &= Ff(\underbrace{\psi(x)_c(\text{id}_c)}_{=x}) = Ff(x) \end{aligned}$$

if ψ is inverse to Φ

So we define $\psi(x)_d(f) := Ff(x)$.

We need to check that this defines a natural transformation

$$\psi(x): \text{Hom}(C, -) \rightarrow F$$

So for some $g: d \rightarrow e$ in C we need the following square to commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}(c, d) & \xrightarrow{\psi(x)_d} & Id \\ g_* \downarrow & & \downarrow Fg \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}(c, e) & \xrightarrow{\psi(x)_e} & Fe \end{array}$$

For $f \in \text{Hom}(c, d)$ we check:

$$\psi(x)_e (g_*(f)) = \psi(x)_e (g \circ f) = F(g \circ f)(x)$$

$$Fg(\psi(x)_d(f)) = Fg(Ff(x))$$

These are equal since F is a functor.

So we have defined ψ .

We still need to check that ψ is inverse to Φ :

Let $\alpha \in \text{Hom}(\text{Hom}(c, -), F)$, $f: c \rightarrow d \in C$:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\Phi(\alpha))_d(f) &= \psi(\alpha_c(\text{id}_c))_d(f) = Ff(\alpha_c(\text{id}_c)) \\ &= \alpha_d(f_c(\text{id}_c)) = \alpha_d(f) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \psi(\Phi(\alpha)) = \alpha$$

Let $x \in Fc$:

$$\Phi(\psi(x)) = \psi(x)_c(\text{id}_c) = F(\text{id}_c)(x) = \text{id}_c(x) = x$$

□

Prop: The map Φ is natural in F and C :
 Pt: Naturality in C :

Let $C \xrightarrow{h} C'$ in C . This induces a nat. transformation

$$\beta^h : \text{Hom}(C', -) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(C, -)$$

$$d \mapsto \text{Hom}(C', d) \xrightarrow{\beta^h} \text{Hom}(C, d)$$

Then the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \alpha \in \text{Hom}(\text{Hom}(C, -), F) & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & F_C \\ \downarrow \alpha \circ \beta^h & & \downarrow Fh \\ \text{Hom}(\text{Hom}(C', -), F) & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & F_{C'} \end{array}$$

Here: $Fh(\Phi(\alpha)) = Fh(\alpha_c(\text{id}_C))$

$$\Phi(\alpha \circ \beta^h) = \alpha_{C'}(\beta^h_C(\text{id}_C)) = \alpha_C(h)$$

These are equal since α is a nat. transf.

Naturality in F : $F': C \rightarrow \text{Set}$
 $\gamma: F \rightarrow F'$ nat. transf.

Then

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}(\text{Hom}(c, -), F) & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & F_c \\ \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \gamma_c \\ \text{Hom}^{y \circ \alpha}(\text{Hom}(c, -), F') & \rightarrow & F'_c \end{array}$$

commutes:

$$\gamma_c(\Phi(\alpha)) = \gamma_c(\alpha_c(\text{id}_c))$$

$$\Phi(\gamma \circ \alpha) = (\gamma \circ \alpha)_c(\text{id}_c) = \gamma_c(\alpha_c(\text{id}_c))$$

by the composition rule for nat. transf.
 \square

A first application:

Cor: $c, d \in C \rightsquigarrow$

$$\text{Hom}(c, d) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\text{Hom}(d, -), \text{Hom}(c, -))$$

$$f \mapsto f''$$

is a bijection:

Pf: Take $F = \text{Hom}(c, -)$ in the Yoneda lemma. This gives a

bijection

$$\Phi : \text{Hom}(\text{Hom}(d, -), \text{Hom}(c, -)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(c, d) \\ \alpha \mapsto \alpha(\text{id}_d)$$

For $f: c \rightarrow d$, this sends $\alpha = f^*$
to $f^*(\text{id}_c) = f \circ \text{id}_c = f$

$\Rightarrow f \circ f^*$ is inj.

Since Φ is inj, any $\alpha: \text{Hom}(d, -) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(c, -)$
is equal to $(\alpha(\text{id}_d))^*$.

$\Rightarrow f \circ f^*$ is surj.

D

Cor: For $c, d \in \mathcal{C}$ if the functors
 $\text{Hom}(c, -)$ and $\text{Hom}(d, -)$ are isomorphic,
so are c and d .

So if we know all morphisms out
of an object (or dually all morph.
into an object) we know the object
(up to iso.)!

In particular, a representation
 $\text{Hom}(c, -) \cong F$ of a functor F is unique
up to isomorphisms $c \xrightarrow{\sim} c'$.

Up to size issues, all of this can be formulated more concisely as follows:

Assume that C is small. Then there is a functor category $\text{Fun}(C, \text{Set})$ and a functor

$$\gamma: C^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(C, \text{Set})$$

$$c \mapsto \text{Hom}(c, -)$$

$$f \mapsto f^*$$

The first cor. says that γ is fully faithful.

Furthermore, the Yoneda lemma can be expressed as an isom. of functors

Have 2 functors

$$C \times \text{Fun}(C, \text{Set}) \rightarrow \text{Set}$$

$$\text{Hom}(\gamma(-), -) : (c, F) \mapsto \text{Hom}(\text{Hom}(c, -), F)$$

which acts on morph. as
in the functoriality statement
after the Yoneda lemma

and $\text{ev} : (c, F) \mapsto F(c)$

$$(c \xrightarrow{\gamma} c', F \xrightarrow{\gamma} F') \mapsto F(c) \xrightarrow{F^{\gamma}} F(c') \xrightarrow{\gamma_{c'}} F'(c')$$

and the Φ give an isomorphism of func.

$$\text{Hom}(\gamma(-)_1, -) \rightarrow \text{ev}.$$

By applying the above to C^{op} we get dual statements:

Then let $F: C^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ be a functor and $c \in C$

$$\text{Then } \Phi: \text{Hom}(\text{Hom}(-, c), F) \rightarrow Fc$$
$$\alpha \mapsto \alpha_c(\text{id}_c)$$

is a bijection which is natural in F and c .

Cor: For $c, d \in C$ the map

$$\text{Hom}(c, d) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\text{Hom}(-, c), \text{Hom}(-, d))$$
$$f \mapsto f_c$$

is a bijection.

Another application:

In linear algebra, we have "row operations" on the set of matrices with n rows with coefficients in some ring R (exchanging two rows, adding a multiple of one row to another, multiplying a row by an element of R^*)

Cor: Every row operation is defined by left mult. by some $n \times n$ -matrix, which is obtained by applying the row operation to the identity matrix.

Pf: We consider the category Mat_R and for $n \geq 0$ the functor

$$\text{Hom}(_, n) : \text{Mat}_R^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Set},$$

this sends $n \geq 0$ to $R^{n \times n}$.

The fact that matrix mult. is linear implies that each row operation defines a nat. transf.

$$\text{Hom}(-, n) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(-, n)$$

Hence by the corr. the row operation is defined by the element of $\text{Hom}(n, n)$ obtained by applying this nat. transf. to id_n . \square

Def A universal property of $c \in C$ is a functor $F: C \rightarrow \text{Set}$ or cop-Set together with an element $x \in Fc$ which induces via the Yoneda lemma an isomorphism $F \cong \text{Hom}(C, -)$ or $F \cong \text{Hom}(-, C)$